

JUN 19 2013

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Scott E. Laster
Executive Director,
North Carolina Republican Party
1506 Hillsborough Street
Raleigh, NC 277605

RE: MUR 6580

Dear Mr. Laster:

The Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in your complaint received on May 24, 2012. On June 11, 2013, based on information provided in the complaint, and information provided by the respondents, Treva Johnson for Congress and Larry Pendry in his official capacity as treasurer, the Commission decided to dismiss the complaint. Accordingly, the Commission closed the file on June 11, 2013.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission's findings, is enclosed.

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

If you have any questions, please contact Ruth Heilizer, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Anthony Herman General Counsel

BY:

Jeff S. Jordan

Supervisory Attorney

Complaints Examination and

Legal Administration

Enclosure:

Factual and Legal Analysis

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS	
RESPONDENTS:	Treva Johnson for Congress and Larry Pendry as treasurer	MUR 6580
I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>		

This matter was generated by a Complaint filed by Scott E. Laster alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). The Commission voted to dismiss the allegations.

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

A. Factual Background

The Complaint alleges that Treva Johnson for Congress and Larry Pendry in his official capacity as treasurer (collectively the "Committee") violated the reporting requirements under 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(2)(A)(iii) by failing to file any quarterly reports after raising over \$5,000 and, thereby, qualifying as a candidate under 2 U.S.C. § 431(2) and 11 C.F.R. § 100.3. Compl. at 1. The Complaint states that Treva Johnson's ActBlue fundraising website page indicated that she had raised at least \$5,484 as of January 19, 2012. Compl. at 1, 4. The Complaint also includes a screenshot of an FEC Summary Report page, which shows that Treva Johnson had not filed any disclosure reports as a candidate for North Carolina's Fifth Congressional District. Compl. at 14.

The Committee concedes that it received over \$5,000 in contributions by the end of December 2011 and failed to file either a 2011 Year-End Report or a 2012 April Quarterly

Report, as required by the Act. Resp. at 1. The Committee offers that its failure to file was due

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- to a clerical oversight. Id. More specifically, the Committee attached to its Response both its
- 2 2011 Year-End Report¹ and 2012 April Quarterly Report² dated July 19, 2012.³
- Notably, the Committee has since failed to file its 2012 July Quarterly Report, 2012
- 4 October Quarterly Report, and 2012 Year-End Report. On February 4, 2013, RAD sent the
- 5 Committee two Nonfiler Notices (RQ-7s) regarding the 2012 July and October Quarterly
- 6 Reports, respectively, and on February 22, 2013, RAD sent an RQ-7 as to the 2012 Year-End
- 7 Report. The Committee has not filed any additional reports.

B. Legal Analysis

Under the Act, an individual becomes a "candidate" for federal office when he or she has received or made in excess of \$5,000 in contributions or expenditures. 2 U.S.C. § 431(2). Upon becoming a candidate, the individual and his or her principal campaign committee are subject to registration and reporting requirements under the Act. The individual must file a Statement of Candidacy, designating a principal campaign committee therein, no later than fifteen days after becoming a candidate. 2 U.S.C. § 432(e)(1); 11 C.F.R. § 101.1. The committee then has ten days to register with the Commission by filing a Statement of Organization. 2 U.S.C. § 433(a); 11 C.F.R. § 102.1. Thereafter, the opmmittee must file financial disclosure reports, including

The 2011 Year-End Report appears to be inaccurate: the Committee states that none of the contributions reported came from the candidate, Report at 3, but the itemized list indicates that at least seven contributions were made by Treva Johnson. Report at 15-17. The Committee reflected the contributions on line 11(a) as total contributions to the Committee, as opposed to line 11(d) as required.

The 2012 April Quarterly Report appears to be incorrect: the Committee identified the Report as a "January Year-End Report," and only provided activity for the period of January 1 to March 30, excluding March 31.

The 2011 Year-End Report and 2012 April Quarterly Report were not posted to the FEC website until February 4, 2013, because the reports were mistakenly filed with the Office of General Counsel instead of the Reports Analysis Division.

MUR 6580 (Treva Johnson for Congress) Factual & Legal Analysis Page 3

- filing quarterly reports no later than the fifteenth day after the last day of each calendar quarter.
- 2 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(2)(A)(iii).
- The Committee admits that it failed to file a timely 2011 Year-End Report and 2012
- 4 April Quarterly Report. Additionally, the Committee has failed to file its 2012 July and October
- 5 Quarterly Reports, as well as its 2012 Year-End Report, in violation of 2 U.S.C.
- 6 § 434(a)(2)(A)(iii). The Committee's 2012 April Quarterly Report, however, indicates minimal
- 7 activity; the Committee received \$355 in receipts during the covered period.
- 8 Due to the lack of activity found on its 2012 April Quarterly Report, it does not appear
- 9 that the Committee was actively pursuing contributions or making significant expenditures after
- 10 March 2012. Thus, the Commission exercised its prosecutorial discretion and dismissed this
- matter under Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985) as to the Committee's failure to file, and
- timely file, disclosure reports with the Commission under 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(2)(A)(iii). The
- 13 Commission also reminded the Committee of the requirements under 2 U.S.C.
- 14 § 434(a)(2)(A)(iii) for principal campaign committees to file quarterly reports with the
- 15 Commission no later than the fifteenth day after the last day of each calendar quarter.